



An Roinn Dlí agus Cirt
Department of Justice



Co-funded by
the European Union



SAFER INTERNET DAY 2023

PUBLIC REPORT

MAY 2023



Safer
Internet
Day



hotline.ie

ispcc



Supporting Parents
Supporting Children

webwise.ie

About us

The Irish Safer Internet Centre partner organisations work towards a shared mission of making the internet a safer and more inclusive place for children and young people. A partnership of Hotline.ie, ISPCC, National Parents Council Primary and Webwise coordinated by the Department of Justice and co-funded by the European Union. The Irish Safer Internet Centre (SIC) has three main pillars:



Webwise.ie is the Irish Internet Safety Awareness Centre and is part of the Professional Development Service for Teachers, a Department of Education funded support service. Webwise promotes safer, better Internet use through awareness raising and education initiatives targeting teachers, children, young people and parents. Webwise develops and disseminates resources that help teachers integrate digital citizenship and online safety into teaching and learning in their schools. Webwise also provides information, advice, and tools to parents to support their engagement in their children's online lives.

ISPCC operates the Childline Listening Service, Ireland's only 24-hour active listening service for children and young people. The service is here to listen to children, to support and empower them and to help to strengthen their resilience so they can cope with any challenges they face.

Any child or young person can contact Childline for free and in confidence, at any time, to talk about any issue on their mind. The service can be reached online at [Childline.ie](https://www.childline.ie) or calling 1800 66 66 66.

National Parents Council (NPC) Primary provides a dedicated helpline to support parents and carers in dealing with issues relating to online safety, including cyberbullying. The National Parents Council Primary also provides parents with training courses, both online and face-to-face.

Further information about the National Parents Council Primary Helpline can be found [here](#).

Hotline.ie is Ireland's primary national channel for members of the public to anonymously and confidentially report suspected illegal content online, such as child sexual abuse material, technology facilitated child sexual exploitation - child grooming, non-consensual sharing of intimate images and videos (also known as intimate image abuse), racism and xenophobia, and financial scams. Further information can be found [here](#).

Table of Contents

03

Introduction

05

Safer Internet Day 2023 Event

11

Anti-Bullying Resources, Training and Supports

14

Appendix 1

21

Bystander Behaviour Online Among Young People in Ireland: A Report by DCU Anti-Bullying Centre and Webwise



hotline.ie

ispcc



Supporting Parents
Supporting Children

webwise.ie

INTRODUCTION

Safer Internet Day (SID) has become a global landmark event in the online safety calendar with 2023 being its 20th year. Safer Internet Day is an EU wide initiative to promote a safer internet for all users, especially young people. In Ireland for Safer Internet Day 2023, the Irish Safer Internet Centre promoted the theme of *respect and empathy online*.

Almost 200,000 young people and children took part in initiatives around the country to mark Safer Internet Day 2023. The annual awareness initiative is a great opportunity to get children and young people involved and talking about online safety, highlighting the benefits and recognising the challenges online.

One challenge in particular the Irish Safer Internet Centre reflected on is online bullying – it is the most common negative experience children encounter online in Ireland. To explore this topic in more depth the following four initiatives were undertaken as part of Safer Internet Day 2023:

- Webwise launch Silent Witness online bullying awareness campaign
- Youth-led Safer Internet Day event to discuss and reflect on the theme of building respect and empathy online
- Webwise in partnership with DCU Anti-bullying centre publish Research Report on Bystander Behaviour
- 100 Webwise Safer Internet Day Ambassadors lead online safety and anti-bullying initiatives in schools and communities across Ireland

Thank you to the hundreds of children, young people, teachers, school staff, parents/guardians and many other other organisations for making Safer Internet Day the biggest in Ireland to date!



#SilentWitness

For Safer Internet Day, Webwise launch the [#SilentWitness campaign](#) which explores the topic of online bullying and abuse. Comprising three short films, the #SilentWitness campaign explores the lived realities for young people online and aims to spark conversations about how we can all play a role in promoting respect and empathy in online communities.

The films examine the topics of banter, peer pressure, identity based-bullying (racist and homophobic), reflect on the impact bullying can have, and encourages people to report online bullying and abuse. This campaign was developed in consultation with young people, incorporating the latest research and also based on extensive consultations and presentations made to the Department of Education Anti-Bullying Steering committee. It is a topic that continues to affect many young people.

The campaign films also form part of a new Webwise Junior Cycle Unit of Learning on connecting and communicating online. The anti-bullying resource comprising of 8 lessons aims to promote respect, empathy and inclusion online. The resource will be available for free in September 2023 from [webwise.ie](#).

For more information visit: [webwise.ie/silentwitness](#)



SAFER INTERNET DAY EVENT 2023

On February 7th 2023 (Safer Internet Day), the Irish Safer Internet Centre hosted an event exploring the theme of building empathy and respect online. The event was led by the Webwise Youth Advisory Panel members together with other students and gave young people the space to reflect and discuss respect and empathy online. The youth panel were joined by the Ombudsman for Children; Dr. Niall Muldoon and colleagues from the DCU Anti-Bullying Centre who contributed to the discussions, and presented the key findings from a recent survey on bystander behaviour online (See p.20).

Complementary interactive stakeholder discussions led by the Youth Panel and SIC Partners, explored some of the opportunities and challenges as they relate to protecting, empowering, and respecting children and young people online while also looking to future priorities (See Appendix 1).

"Your phone is a silent witness but you don't have to be. Speak up if you witness online bullying or abuse. So often we see a focus on negatives and challenges for teens online that there can be a lack of understanding of the positives and the importance of social media in our lives. Today our aim is to shed light on the positives for young people and look for solutions together on how we can be empowered to speak up if we witness bullying or abuse in our online spaces and communities."

Webwise Youth Advisory Panel, February 7th 2023



SAFER INTERNET DAY EVENT 2023

Minister for Education Norma Foley T.D. said:

“Safer Internet Day is fast becoming a key part in the school calendar and this 20th anniversary is another key milestone.

“We will continue to support learners and school communities to ensure their online experience is a safe one. Just two months ago I published Cineáltas: Action Plan on Bullying which places a significant emphasis on cyber-bullying and seeks to ensure that our schools are safe and happy places for all our children and young people.

“I would encourage teachers and parents to examine the wide range of resources available to students through Webwise which promotes safer and better internet use.”



Ombudsman for Children Dr Niall Muldoon an advocate for children’s rights, championing their safety in the digital environment, and guest speaker at the event, said:

“I am delighted to support the encouragement of more conversations on the topic of respect, empathy and online bullying for this population of children. The #SilentWitness campaign launched today on Safer Internet Day 2023, which was developed by Webwise in close consultation with young people and drawing from the latest survey findings, is an excellent way to kick-start those conversations. The campaign is a series of three short films aiming to get children and adults talking inside and outside the classroom, within families, amongst peers, and in the wider community around the topic of online bullying and actions that can be taken.”

What the Internet means to the Webwise youth advisory panel

Support

Community

Acceptance

A Voice...

Affirmation

Creativity

Friendship

Motivation

Influence

Expression

Inspiration

Connection

Representation

Accountability


SAFER INTERNET DAY 2023



SAFER INTERNET DAY 2023



SAFER INTERNET DAY 2023



Artist visualisation from Safer Internet Day 7th February 2023

ONLINE BULLYING SUPPORTS AND RESOURCES

The partners of the Irish Safer Internet Centre have a number of free resources, training and supports for children, teens, parents and schools addressing the topic of online bullying.

Webwise

Free online safety and anti-bullying resources for schools

Primary and Post-Primary Schools and educators can order free hard copies and access digital online safety and anti-bullying resources via webwise.ie. Check out the HTML Heroes, Myselfie and Digitown resources for primary and Lockers, Be in Ctrl or the Connected resource for post-primary schools.



FREE Training for Teachers

PDST Technology in Education with Webwise have developed two new online courses for all teachers and school leaders, primary and post primary:

- Cyberbullying (Primary Schools): Understanding, Preventing and Responding
- Cyberbullying (Post Primary Schools): Understanding, Preventing and Responding

Involving Students in Anti-Bullying

An important aspect of anti-bullying prevention and internet safety in schools is the active involvement of students in building a positive school climate. To encourage students to take a leading role in Safer Internet Day and explore topics important to them, Webwise (the Irish internet safety awareness centre) developed a peer-led training programme for post-primary students; the Safer Internet Day Ambassador Programme.



ONLINE BULLYING SUPPORTS AND RESOURCES

ISPCC Shield Anti-bullying Programme

The Shield programme aims to support organisations in their efforts to proactively manage bullying and protect children and young people from bullying through prevention and intervention strategies.

Bullying is a complex social issue and can occur in many different settings including schools, sporting and youth club activities, the home, social groups, in communities and online.

From listening to and supporting children and young people across our suite of Childline services we know that bullying continues to occur, and this can have significant impacts on a child and young persons' life, sometimes well into adulthood. There is much work to be done to minimise all forms of bullying and, thereby, negate the potential impact of bullying.

We encourage organisations to work through the Shield Self-Evaluation Tool. The Self-Evaluation tool is a key component of the programme and is designed for any organisation working with children and young people, e.g., schools, crèches, clubs, residential settings etc.

The ISPCC has distilled the latest research into ten Shield Statements that are evidence based. By reflecting on each of the ten statements and associated questions, organisations will identify their areas of strength and those necessitating development in their approach to bullying.

Once completed and upon review and support by the ISPCC, Shield Status can be awarded to the organisation. Organisations that are awarded Shield Status will receive the following:

- Access to the most up-to-date research and a library of supporting materials/videos and lesson plans which will be continuously updated
- A Shield graphic for display on your organisation's website
- Certificate of your organisation's achievement
- Letter template to announce the attainment of your Shield Status to parents/caregivers
- Recognition on ispcc.ie

For information or support from our the ISPCC's Anti-bullying Coordinator, please email shield@ispcc.ie.



ONLINE BULLYING SUPPORTS AND RESOURCES


The ISPCC's Shield programme is supported by RTÉ's Toy Show Appeal, facilitated by Community Foundation Ireland, and developed in collaboration by DCU and Webwise.ie.

National Parents Council

The National Parents Council (NPC) operate a helpline providing support and information for parents. Parents can contact the helpline Monday – Friday 10am – 4pm if they are concerned about their children's use of digital technologies and services, or cyber-bullying. Call: 01-887 4477 or email: helpline@npc.ie.

NPC also offers Internet Safety training for parents, which can be accessed either through in-person sessions held at schools or online if preferred. This program focuses on children's internet usage, equipping parents with strategies to promote responsible and safe online behaviour, it also addresses cyber bullying. It provides valuable guidance on initiating conversations with children about their internet use and includes a helpful template for creating an online agreement, fostering positive rules and boundaries around internet usage.

Training can be booked [here](#).



APPENDIX 1: ROUND TABLE DISCUSSIONS TALKING POINTS

Introduction

How can we encourage help seeking behaviour?

*Your device is a silent witness but you don't have to be.
Speak up if you witness online bullying or abuse.*

Did you know?

Nearly 60% of the time bullying would stop in less than 10 seconds when peers intervene.

Research has found that bystanders who take no action or behave in ways that give silent approval (watching, sharing, 'liking') encourage the behaviour to continue. However, the actions of a supportive bystander or upstander can stop an incident or help someone to recover from it.

How can we encourage help seeking behaviour in young people?

Through the helpline, hotline, parents, educators, local community, peers, on digital platforms, An Garda Síochána, etc.

Talking Point Discussion Questions

1. What are the barriers to people taking action or seeking help if they witness, or are the target or perpetrator of bullying or abusive behaviour online?
2. How can bystanders or targets get help without exposing themselves?
3. What can we do to promote respect and build empathy in our online spaces and communities?
4. Given the discussion today, what's one thing you would like us (the Irish Safer Internet Centre) to do to support you online?

APPENDIX 1: ROUND TABLE DISCUSSIONS TALKING POINTS

1. What are the barriers to people taking action or seeking help if they witness, or are the target or perpetrator of bullying or abusive behaviour online?

- *Fear of being targeted if you say you've seen/heard something*
- *Is there a phrase that we could use if "calling out" negative behaviour*
- *Reporting ... will it stop the problem?? Not too confident*
- *In "confidence" bystander could let people know about the "abuse"*
- *Pretending not to be affected - and to pretend it doesn't really bother the victim...*
- *If you speak up...are you made more vulnerable?*
- *Fear of being ostracised*
- *Correct channels should I use to report*
- *Survey students anonymously to ascertain what the students are really feeling*
- *How does an individual get support when perhaps a part is not someone you can turn to?*
- *Asked to speak up but sometimes that is not what the school wants to hear. E.g. Transphobia v catholic school*
- *Threat to becoming the target/fear*
- *Why should I get involved?*
- *Fear that you might be judged*
- *Fear of being a snitch*
- *Knock on effect*
- *Might be embarrassing*
- *Scary to speak up*
- *You might be bullied also/fear*
- *Influenced by popular students' opinions*
- *Fear for others - family/friends*
- *Fear of asking for help as people will know - anonymity is important.*
- *Fear of further bullying and becoming a target*
- *Scared of being judged*
- *Unsure how to report it*
- *No belief in platforms to take action*
- *Afraid it would be taken out of context*

APPENDIX 1: ROUND TABLE DISCUSSIONS TALKING POINTS

1. What are the barriers to people taking action or seeking help if they witness, or are the target or perpetrator of bullying or abusive behaviour online? (continued...)

- *Unsure about how to report and who to report to in the school? Also, what is worth reporting and what is something you just want to talk to someone about for support and guidance without it going further*
- *No available supports/where should I go?*
- *Fear. Not knowing that to do*
- *Perhaps not even realising that the “abuse” is actually abusive*
- *Use the report button.*
- *Private message them/remove from chat.*
- *Not being visible is tricky.*
- *Platforms should have more ways to report – more visibility.*
- *Online safety champion – Peers to peers - trust them more than friends or someone anonymous.*
- *Take a picture of the bullying and send it in and the workers can assess it.*
- *Leadership in school is very important.*
- *Examples of what’s working well in some areas: Strong Tutor system would be great – below Year Head support for everything, Class Captain – meet monthly, Wellbeing Weeks.*

APPENDIX 1: ROUND TABLE DISCUSSIONS TALKING POINTS

2. How can bystanders or targets get help without exposing themselves?

- *Curriculum - open & consistent, up to date, open discussion, third party - educated on internet safety*
- *Self-educate and speak up*
- *Education on when and how to report*
- *Designated “champion” to turn to. Prefect/ captain/internet safety champion*
- *Specific app training i.e how to report an inappropriate video on TikTok for example*
- *Talking to someone/the person if you know them*
- *Report anonymously*
- *Block*
- *Depends who is bullying - stranger or someone they know*
- *Push the concept of being an ally*
- *Normalising support*
- *Creating a space/culture in school*
- *Not just through formal lessons*
- *If more educated on online safety, e.g. talks from experts, students will understand more about how to be safe online and what to do if they get bullied or witness bullying.*
- *Impact? How to show... talk about, role play, safe spaces*
- *Report to Principal, Garda, Parents, etc.*
- *Trusted adult - parent, teacher, guidance counsellor*
- *Education for example; FUSE Programme*
- *An anonymous reporting system in school. No one wants to be seen as a rat*
- *Offline – in-school activities.*
- *Parents role to educate children too.*
- *Parents Council – but low uptake.*
- *Making connection between offline and online behaviour.*
- *Distinction between being ‘vicious’ and how to react to something and being openly able to discuss things – i.e., respecting differences of opinions.*
- *Freedom of expression V harmful communications.*

APPENDIX 1: ROUND TABLE DISCUSSIONS TALKING POINTS

2. How can bystanders or targets get help without exposing themselves?

- *iPad school – monitor usage, monitoring, and support element.*
- *Primary school – need to start earlier.*
- *New social norm has been created e.g., increasing number of children and young people online.*
- *Research said 79% of children and young people who are feeling upset go online to feel better. Need to ensure the content is there to support them well.*
- *Use of AI to make online safer (age verification).*
- *Need AI to support the effort but children and young people have a fear of their privacy being infringed. Any approach must respect their privacy.*
- *Themed seminars on difficult/harmful topics.*
- *Support people how to learn to engage critically but respectfully.*

APPENDIX 1: ROUND TABLE DISCUSSIONS TALKING POINTS

3. What can we do to promote respect and build empathy in our online spaces and communities?

- *Respect/empathy - show what is done online - is the same as face-to-face*
- *Promote respect: - help the upstander (like/respect so this upstander isn't bullied), Being honest with young people, learn from young people, involve them in learning*
- *Supporting parents and safeguarding*
- *Open conversations between parents and children*
- *Have to engage to understand*
- *To keep us up to date with news*
- *Learn about positive and negatives*
- *Learn how to manage your mental health and social media presence*
- *Education, education, education and from a primary age, and more so for parents*
- *Younger people being kept in the conversation*
- *Vigilant parents are in the minority*
- *Liaison office should be assigned to each school to manage/monitor internet safety*
- *Friends are a good support*
- *Depends on seriousness*
- *Possible student mentorship/council*
- *Change the tools*
- *Language/knowledge to be more confident*
- *Make it practical*
- *Importance of One safe adult*
- *Normalising one good adult/anonymity*

APPENDIX 1: ROUND TABLE DISCUSSIONS TALKING POINTS

3. What can we do to promote respect and build empathy in our online spaces and communities? Continued...

- *Normalising one good adult/anonymity*
- *Education in schools*
- *Looking at wider community groups etc*
- *Phones policy at school and home - no perfect solution*
- *No phones zone*
- *Promoting empathy school and community wide*
- *Multinationals and social media companies to take responsibility*

4. Given the discussion today, what's one thing you would like us (the Irish Safer Internet Centre) to do to support you online?

- *Liaison officer should be assigned to each school to manage/monitor internet safety*
- *Raise awareness of your services in schools and local communities*
- *Site specific - completely different content; how to deal and use each*
- *Training and awareness as early as possible, more space given to conversations in school, keep doing what you're doing: more videos!*
- *Educating parents*

Bystander Behaviour Online Among Young People in Ireland

DCU Anti-Bullying Centre and Webwise

**Sandra Sanmartín Feijóo, Aikaterini Sargioti, Beatrice
Sciacca and Jane McGarrigle**

May 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

23	Abstract
24	Key Findings
25	Introduction and Background
27	Method
29	Quantitative Findings
29	Online behaviour and digital device usage
32	Cyberbullying Roles
34	Bystander behaviour
39	Bystander and online behaviour
45	Qualitative findings
45	Why had they joined in
46	Extra supports to help people step in
48	Advice to people who are bullied
52	Recommmendations
54	References

ABSTRACT

Research shows that cyberbullying is a significant issue encountered online by children in Ireland. Researchers and educators recognise the importance of the role of peer bystanders in bullying situations, but more research is needed in this regard within an Irish context. Moreover, there appears to be a general lack of literature on the role of bystanders in cyberbullying situations. Therefore, this research study commissioned by Webwise was conducted by DCU Anti-Bullying Centre and aims to explore online bystander behaviour among young people in Ireland. A sample consisting of 212 students aged 13 to 17 years completed an online survey including questions regarding participants use of the internet and digital devices and bystander behaviour.

The key quantitative research finding evidenced that victimisation online is prevalent with 45.3% of participants reporting that they had witnessed cyberbullying over the last number of months. The most frequent mistreatment identified related to direct verbal abuse and occurs most often on social media. Similarly, it is mostly initiated and perpetuated by strangers or classmates of the target. Another important finding of note is that, albeit bystanders are, in general, aware of the protective mechanisms provided by social media such as the in-app reporting tool(s) or block button(s), they tend not to use them to help targets. However, the responses to the Behaviour during cyberbullying episodes scale developed by Pozzoli & Gini (2020) showed that cyber-defending targets was more common than any other role.

Qualitative findings of participant responses showed that where bystanders take part in the abusive behaviour, they tend to either justify that the target was in some way deserving of the cyberbullying, that they were acting due to peer pressure, or that they perceived (cyber)bullying as normal behaviour. When asked about additional supports to assist bystanders to take responsibility, some participants felt that nothing can be done to suppress bullying, while others suggested technical improvements to be put in place or source alternative practical solutions outside of the online world such as digital etiquette training. Finally, when asked what advice they would give to those who experience bullying, the majority of participants responded that they would report the issue in-app and tell a trusted adult while some participants reported that they would not deliver any advice. The findings of this research study should help inform educational programme and prevention/intervention methodologies to reduce cyberbullying and its adverse effects.

KEY FINDINGS

There is a high online connectivity among young people in Ireland. Most students in the sample had a mobile phone with access to the Internet (98.1%), and their mean age for getting the first smartphone was 11.72 years old.

Cyberbullying is frequently witnessed online, with 45.3% of students surveyed report witnessing some kind of mistreatment online over the last months, being therefore cyberbullying bystanders.

Various forms of direct verbal abuse are the most common online. From those who witnessed cyberbullying, 64.6% reported name calling, and mockery or insults were also witnessed by 63.5% of the bystanders.

The space where cyberbullying most often takes place is social media. Of the bystanders, 60.4% reported having witnessed cyberbullying on a social network.

Among those who reported witnessing cyberbullying, 31.3% said a stranger started it and 25% said other strangers joined in.

Participants are in general aware of protective mechanisms provided by social networks, and report using those mechanisms to protect themselves, but not so much to help others. The most common mechanism for helping other people is the report button used by 14.2% of the sample.

The preferred persons to talk about witnessing cyberbullying were parents/guardians and friends. Of the bystanders, 30.2% told their parents about the cyberbullying witnessed and 29.2% told their friends.

Bystanders are heavier internet and digital device users than their non-bystander peers. The rate of bystanders interacting with content from other people in social media daily is 48.4%, while for non-bystanders is 24.3%.

Participants' comments tend to diminish the impact of cyberbullying compared to offline victimisation, but only a few recommend ignoring it. The main advice participants would give to people who are bullied online is to report in the platform and tell a trusted adult or a friend.

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

This research was commissioned by Webwise conducted by DCU Anti-Bullying Centre within the frame of Safer Internet Day [SID] 2023. SID is an EU initiative celebrated around the world, It is promoted in Ireland by Webwise; the internet safety initiative of the Department of Education, and aims to educate and raise awareness about promoting safer use of the internet, so that children and young people can responsibly enjoy the benefits of the internet, without compromising their safety and privacy. This research aims to explore the roles within online bullying incidents, routes of support for young people and barriers to responding/reporting or telling.

Peer communication tend to be more frequent in technological and online settings nowadays rather than face-to-face interactions (Gómez-Baya et al., 2019). This shift increases the chances to experience or witness cyberbullying (Beavon et al., 2022; Polanco-Levicán & Salvo-Garrido, 2021). Cyberbullying or online bullying has been defined as a type of bullying, and therefore a “wilful and repeated harm inflicted through the use of computers, cell phones, and other electronic devices” (Hinduja & Patchin, 2015). Although there is still some controversy in the education community, this type of definition is one of the most widely accepted. In this sense, the need for repetition is one of the most questioned criteria of cyberbullying, since insulting or offensive content can remain online and be spread and forwarded with no further intervention from the original perpetrator (Menesini et al., 2012). It has also been highlighted that cyberbullying has its own defining characteristics as compared to traditional offline bullying (Slonje et al., 2013), such as ease of the perpetrator remaining anonymous, increased potential for the content to be spread, and greater accessibility to the target.

Cyberbullying is the most frequent negative experience children in Ireland encounter online. A National Online survey of parents and teens highlighted that 11% of all children say they have experienced cyberbullying in the past 12 months (NACOS, 2021). Although cyberbullying is estimated to be less prevalent than traditional bullying, its psychosocial impact appears to be higher (Campbell et al., 2012; Gaffney et al., 2019), stressing the need to develop effective prevention and interventions.

Bullying is understood as a group process with different possible roles of participation, among which bystanders have been found to play a key role as they can provide positive reinforcement that sustains the bullying cycle, or even end it with their non-acceptance of the victimisation (DeSmet, 2016; Salmivalli, 2010). Joining and assisting the mistreatment are obvious ways of perpetuating bullying, but bystanders can also encourage victimisation with sympathetic displays such as laughing, and even passive bystanding may be perceived as silent approval of the bullying (Kowalski et al., 2014; Salmivalli, 2010).

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Researchers and educators have recognised the importance of peer bystanders in bullying situations, but more research is needed on the Irish context, and there seems to be a literature gap in general on the role of bystanders in cyberbullying (Beavon et al., 2022). The differences between traditional offline bullying and cyberbullying also remain in knowing how to respond to one or the other. Young people may require skills unique to the cyber environment and use technology in their efforts to intervene, such as knowing how to block someone or report them to the social media platform (Beavon et al., 2022). On the other hand, speaking about the victimisation with others, particularly an adult, has been showed to be the most efficient way to tackle a bullying episode and increase the well-being of young people involved (Bjereld et al., 2019). However, students in Ireland tend not to tell anyone when they are targeted themselves or witness (cyber)bullying (Foody et al., 2017; NACOS 2021). Furthermore, the most frequent characteristics of cyberbullying or online victimisation/abuse in general need to be analysed in order to tailor awareness campaigns and prevention or intervention efforts. These characteristics include the specific behaviours that are being carried out, who are the targets and who the perpetrators (Feijóo et al., 2021). Besides, the role of environment and “Hotspots” understood as areas where the risk of victimisation would be higher have been researched for traditional school bullying (Rapp-Paglicci et al., 2004), but cyberbullying research in this issue is scarce and has been mostly focused on computational analysis of charged language on social media (Ho et al., 2020), and not in spaces that may be facilitating cyberbullying to occur.

Therefore, gaining a better understanding of what supports teens are aware of, motivations/barriers to intervene in incidents and barriers to reporting, should directly feed into education programmes and help minimise cyberbullying and its impact. Therefore, Webwise commissioned DCU Anti-Bullying Centre to undertake research to explore bystander behaviour online in young people in Ireland as part of the Webwise Silent Witness anti-bullying campaign; explores the topic of online bullying and aims to spark conversations about how we can create more tolerant and inclusive online communities[1].


[1] Webwise Silent Witness anti-bullying campaign: <https://www.webwise.ie/silentwitness/>

METHOD

Recruitment and Sample

The sample of this research were 212 post-primary students in Ireland between 13 and 17 years old. Post-primary schools were recruited by DCU Anti-Bullying Centre and invited to participate in this research through an existing network of partner schools. School principals and teachers shared an online survey with their students and their parents/guardians in order to obtain parental consent and participant assent. In total, 583 parents accessed the online survey, with only 0.5% (n = 3) refusing to let their children participate. Parents/guardians who did not give their consent terminated the survey automatically, while those who consented to their child's participation would then invite their child to read their Plain language statement and complete the survey. All students were free to decline participation in the survey and were informed of such. They were also be informed that their responses were confidential and completely anonymous. From the 355 children that filled the assent form, 2.2% refused to participate. Therefore, 342 children themselves opted in the survey, but only 212 reached the question about having witnessed some kind of mistreatment online. Replying to this item was used as minimum criteria to be included in the final sample.

Figure 1. Demographics: sex




METHOD

Recruitment and Sample

In the final sample (n = 212) 57.1% reported being boys, 40.1% girls and 2.8% other, specifying then being “non-binary” (Figure 1). Regarding their academic grade, the majority attended the first or second year, with half of the participants being distributed between the 3rd and 6th years (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Demographics: academic grade



Measures


The online survey included items specifically developed for this study about use of internet and digital devices and bystander behaviour based on prior research such as Feijóo et al. (2021), NACOS (2021), and Feijóo (2022). Furthermore, the scale about Behavior during cyberbullying episodes developed by Pozzoli & Gini (2020) was used to explore the frequency of behaviours from four profiles of engagement in cyberbullying: Cyberbullying others, suffering Cybervictimisation, Cyber-Defending others, and being a Cyber-Passive Bystander. A higher score implies a higher frequency of the particular behaviour or cyberbullying role.

The main results of the survey will be presented divided into Quantitative Findings, presenting the response rates of the items presented above, and Qualitative Findings, highlighting participants' answers to a set of open ended questions inquiring about why they may have joined in bullying episodes, which extra supports can be put in place to encourage people to defend others, and what advice can be given to people who are bullied. These results are presented descriptively and in lay language, since no statistical analysis are included in this report.


QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS

Online behaviour and digital device usage

The vast majority of students had a mobile phone with access to the Internet (98.1%), which they got mostly between the ages of 11 and 13. The mean age of getting the first smartphone was 11.72 years old, and the full range of reported ages is presented in Figure 3.




The most common social networks young students are registered on are YouTube, TikTok and Instagram, and only 1.9% reported not using any social network (Figure 4). Participants could mark all the social networks they had on this item and had also the option to report using other options not originally contemplated on the survey, and 38.7% did so. Among those using other social networks, 90.1% indicated Snapchat, 8.4% Discord, 2.4% VSCO, 1.7% Reddit and 1.7% Wattpad.



QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS


Delving into social media use, young people were asked if they had more than one account or profile in any social network and whether their profiles were public. One third of the participants had more than one account or profile in the same social network (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Having more than one account per social network



Almost half of the students had a public profile or account (Figure 6), meaning that anyone who is not on the participant contact or friendship list could see all the content they were sharing.

Figure 6. Having a public profile or account



QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS


Participants were also asked about several activities they could be doing with their digital devices (Table 1). The most common activity undertaken daily was playing video games and interacting with someone else’s content, like commenting, reacting, or liking their posts. When they played video games, they mostly used consoles or smartphones. More than half of the sample (54%) slept with their devices on the same bedroom daily, but only 13.3% reported using those devices after midnight. An N/A option was available for those who did not had social media or their own smartphones.

Table 1. Digital devices usage in the last few months

	Never or Almost never	Occasionally	Weekly	Daily	N/A
Uploaded photos, stories or videos of themselves to social media	45%	37.4%	9%	5.7%	2.8%
Interacted with others content (comments, reactions, likes)	15.7%	36.7%	11%	35.2%	1.4%
Slept with their mobile phone, tablet, or console in the bedroom	14.7%	21.8%	7.6%	54%	1.9%
Used their mobile phone, tablet or console after midnight	37.6%	33.3%	14.3%	13.3%	1.4%
Used their mobile phone in class without permission	52.1%	29.9%	4.3%	9.5%	4.3%
Played video games (mobile, tablet, console or computer)	13.6%	24.3%	22.8%	39.3%	-
Played online games with friends	24.3%	32.5%	23.8%	19.4%	-
Played online with people they did not know priorly	57.4%	26.2%	7.4%	8.9%	-

When asked about the devices they normally use to play, consoles and smartphones were reported as the most common (Figure 7).


Figure 7. Devices used to play videogames



Among the protective mechanisms provided by social networks, participants in their majority were aware of the blocking button, the report button as well as of the help centres or helplines and privacy settings (Figure 8). They reported having used most of these mechanisms to protect themselves, with help centres/helplines less used yet known by most participants. The rates were lower when asked about using those

QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS

mechanism to help others. Even with the report button the most frequently used for helping other people, only 14.2% of the sample indicated having done so.



Cyberbullying roles

The Behavior during cyberbullying episodes scale (Pozzoli & Gini, 2020) was used to identify Cyberbullying, Cybervictimisation, Cyber-Defending, and Cyber-Passive Bystander roles. The means for each of the 16 items of the scale and 4 roles were calculated and are presented in Table 2. The role of Cyber-Defending was found to be the most frequent followed by Cyber-Passive Bystander. Witnessing cyberbullying is more frequent than being directly involved as either the target or a perpetrator.

QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS


Table 2. Cyberbullying behaviours and roles

		Item mean	Role mean
Cyberbullying	I threatened or insulted someone using the Internet or the phone	1.33	1.20
	I excluded someone from an online group to make him/her feel bad	1.16	
	I shared someone's pictures or images to make fun of him/her	1.26	
	I created online groups to make fun of someone	1.05	
Cybervictimization	Someone created an online group in which people made fun of me	1.25	1.47
	I was excluded from an online group without reason, only to make me feel bad	1.46	
	Some of my embarrassing pictures or images were spread without my permission	1.52	
	I was threatened or insulted via the phone or the Internet	1.67	
Cyber-Defending	I was included in an online group to make fun of someone, but I defended him/her	1.43	1.69
	I defended someone who was threatened or insulted via the phone or the Internet	1.92	
	When someone was excluded from an online group of which I was a member, I defended him/her	1.81	
	I defended someone who was excluded from online groups or chats created only to make him/her feel bad	1.59	
Cyber-Passive Bystander	I received embarrassing pictures or images of someone, I saw them but I did nothing because it was not my business	1.75	1.61
	When someone was excluded from an online group of which I was a member, I minded my own business	1.74	
	I was included in an online group to make fun of someone, but I only read without directly participating	1.55	
	I was aware that someone was threatened or insulted via the phone or the Internet and I did nothing	1.41	

On figure 9, we show the reported frequency for each of the items, that could range from “never” to “very frequently”. “Never” was the most frequently reported option in all items.

QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS

Figure 9. Frequency of cyberbullying behaviours



Bystander behaviour

Almost half of the participants (45.3%) witnessed someone being victimised online at least once within the last months, with the most common behaviour being name calling, mockery or insults, and spreading rumours online. Cyberbullying was witnessed mostly on social networks, while the reasons for being targeted were equally distributed highlighting slightly more the LGBT+ community, women, and people with over/underweight, but several other reasons were commonly reported.

QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS

In addition to the items addressing bystanding behaviours on the Behavior during cyberbullying episodes scale, participants were asked directly if they had ever witnessed someone being mistreated online in the recent months, which would make them cyberbullying bystanders for the purposes of the present study. Figure 10 shows the direct frequency reported by the sample, while Figure 11 highlights the total percentage of those who had encountered cyberbullying recently, regardless of its frequency. Participants replying positively to these items encountered an additional set of questions about the characteristics of the cyberbullying witnessed.

Figure 10. Frequency of witnessing cyberbullying






Figure 11. Having ever witnessed cyberbullying



QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS


Participants who reported having witnessed someone being targeted online were asked about the type of behaviour they had observed and had the choice to mark several options if needed. They could report other options not originally included on the survey, and 5.2% did so but chose not to disclose the specifics of the behaviour when prompted to do so. Name calling, mockery or insults were the most frequent types of cyberbullying encountered, with two thirds of the sample reporting them. The spread of rumours online was the third most common, reported by one third of the participants (Figure 12).



When asked about where they had witnessed cyberbullying, social networks were the most common by far (60.4%), while online chat, video games and instant messaging all had rates close to 20% (Figure 13). Other spaces referred by the sample were the school setting in person, but participants did not further specify if the incidents were completely offline and therefore not the object of study of the present project, or whether they were an offline follow-up to something that had originated online.


QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS

Figure 13. Spaces where cyberbullying was witnessed



The perceived reasons behind the victimisation proved to be quite diverse, with the “Other” category being the most reported by the sample (Figure 14). The broad set of additional motivations to target others include banter, people being jealous, or even felling no reason as to not doing it, but the most frequently reported relate to some characteristic of the target. These added characteristics reported by the sample were being bald, ginger, new to the school, a boy or a man, an idol, “annoying”, rude or irritating to others, and “being bad at video games”.


Figure 14. Perceived reasons behind cyberbullying



QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS


The vast majority of people who started the negative online behaviour were either strangers or classmates of the targeted person (Figure 15). The rate of people who initiated victimisation in a match or game was more than double for people in the same team than for people in a different team. Those who reported “other” did not report further information, and 1 in 10 of the sample directly indicated not knowing who had started the online bullying.

Figure 15. Who started the cyberbullying episode



When asked if anyone else joined the event, the most frequent profiles were the same ones that started the event: strangers or classmates (Figure 16). Participants reporting “other” did not specify who were those other people, but 1.4% further clarified that no one else joined in the victimisation.

Figure 16. Who joined in the cyberbullying episode




QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS

Bystander and online behaviour


Participants were then asked directly if they had joined in, and most of them (82.4%) reported that they have not joined in the negative behaviour themselves (Figure 17).

Figure 17. Participants joining in cyberbullying




Half of the participants (56%) who reported that they witnessed mistreatment online stated that they told someone about this experience (Figure 18).

Figure 18. Telling someone about the cyberbullying witnessed




QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS

The preferred persons to talk about witnessing cyberbullying were parents/guardians and friends, while teachers are among those that students approach less to report cyberbullying (Figure 19). When participants reported having spoken to someone else, this other person was the target of the victimisation themselves.



Beyond reporting, the most common action participants took was blocking the person who initiated the incident, followed by reporting it to the platform, while the least common action was to change their privacy or contact settings (Figure 20).




QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS

Bystander online behaviour


All the participants who reported being bystanders had a mobile phone with access to the Internet. Among them, most bystanders got their phone between the ages of 12 and 13 (Figure 21).

Figure 21. Age of getting the first smartphone




Young students who witness cyberbullying are all registered on at least one social network. The most used social media platforms on which young people witness bullying are TikTok and Instagram, that jointly with BeReal showed the greatest disparity in rates between bystanders and non-bystanders (Figure 22).

Figure 22. Social networks used regularly




QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS

Adolescents who have more than one profile account tend to witness more cyberbullying than those who have only one.



Almost half of the participants who witnessed online bullying had a public profile or account (Figure 24).



QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS


Regarding digital device usage, it can be observed that bystanders have a higher use of social media and their smartphone, sleeping with it in the bedroom and using it in the classroom more frequently (Table 3).

Table 3. Digital devices usage in the last few months by bystander status

	Frequency of usage				
	Never or Almost never	Occasionally	Weekly	Daily	N/A
Uploaded photos, stories or videos of themselves to social media					
Non-Bystander	53%	32.2%	6.1%	4.3%	4.3%
Bystander	35.4%	43.8%	12.5%	7.3%	1%
Interacted with others content (comments, reactions, likes)					
Non-Bystander	21.7%	40%	11.3%	24.3%	2.6%
Bystander	8.4%	32.6%	10.5%	48.4%	0%
Slept with their mobile phone, tablet, or console in the bedroom					
Non-Bystander	19%	25.9%	4.3%	49.1%	1.7%
Bystander	9.5%	16.8%	11.6%	60%	2.1%
Used their mobile phone, tablet or console after midnight					
Non-Bystander	44.8%	34.5%	11.2%	7.8%	1.7%
Bystander	28.7%	31.9%	18.1%	20.2%	1.1%
Used their mobile phone in class without permission					
Non-Bystander	62.1%	22.4%	2.6%	6.9%	6%
Bystander	40%	38.9%	6.3%	12.6%	2.1%
Played video games (mobile, tablet, console or computer)					
Non-Bystander	14.2%	19.5%	23%	43.4%	
Bystander	12.9%	30.1%	22.6%	34.4%	
Played online games with friends					
Non-Bystander	25%	34.8%	20.5%	19.6%	
Bystander	23.4%	29.8%	27.7%	19.1%	
Played online with people they did not know priorly					
Non-Bystander	67.6%	20.4%	7.4%	4.6%	
Bystander	45.7%	33%	7.4%	13.8%	

QUANTITATIVE FINDINGS

When asked about the devices they normally use to play, bystanders of online bullying reported similar common devices as non-bystanders, with consoles and smartphones having been reported as the most common for both groups but the console particularly for non-bystanders. All other devices were more frequently reported by those who had witnessed cyberbullying (Figure 25). In summary and unsurprisingly, those with higher usage of social media and digital devices are most likely to encounter online bullying.



QUALITATIVE FINDINGS

Why they joined in

Those participants reporting ever joining in a cyberbullying episode, were asked a follow-up question about why they had done it, they needed to answer on their own words. Results seem to be equally aligned with either justifying that the other person deserved being targeted, being under some kind of peer pressure, or an overall normalisation of (cyber)bullying.

Justifying that the person deserved to be targeted was done based on them being rude or aggressive first, believing defamatory rumours, and social norms potentially linked to gender the target was perceived to deviate from. This was mostly done by older students, and their verbatims can be found below:

“Either friendly banter or against people online who are rude”

(Boy, 5th Year)

“He would make fun of me so I would make fun of him”

(Boy, 3rd Year)

“I joined in because I kind of believed what was being said until I found out the truth”

(Girl, 6th Year)

“No boundaries with people’s boyfriends”

(Girl, 6th Year)

Peer pressure was mostly informed by younger students perceiving cyberbullying as something a group they wanted to belong to was doing or wanting to avoid the negative consequences they could face for deviating from group behaviour. Older students reported suffering peer pressure explicitly, and therefore being aware of this social dynamic even if they are subject to it regardless.

“I felt as if I did not my friends would ask me why I was standing up for them”

(Girl, 1st Year)

“Everyone else was”

(Boy, 2nd Year)

“Peer pressure at the time”

(Boy, 4th Year)

“To belong”

(Girl, 5th Year)

QUALITATIVE FINDINGS

A normalisation of (cyber)bullying has been expressed by several participants, either by perceiving the abuse enjoyable for themselves and not realising the implications and consequences for the target, because they consider (cyber)bullying part of normal communication in a specific setting such as gaming, or even by reporting that online bullying is less severe than doing the same behaviour in person.

“Because in video games shit talk is pretty standard and funny mostly between both parties. If someone doesn't like it there's options to mute the person and you'll never hear from them”

(Non-binary, 6th Year)

“Felt it was just a laugh”

(Boy, 5th Year)

“It was funny”

(Girl, 3rd Year)

“I excluded and ignored him... because I really dislike him... but never vocal to him in person”

(Boy, 6th Year)

Extra supports to help people step in

When asked about the extra support people would need to step in and defend the targets of online bullying, some participants feel nothing can be done about cyberbullying, and therefore had nothing to propose in this item. Reference has also been made to employing mechanisms to minimise damage even if prevention feels impossible.

“People need to put their account on private because it's always going to happen”

(Girl, 3rd Year)

“There aren't any. People will be more honest/more cruel when they're aren't face to face. People will say what they want and there is absolutely nothing that can be done about that. All you can control are your own reactions”

(Boy, 4th Year)

“When things are online it's not possible to dismantle things like this and I think it's in unachievable to not have some sort of bullying on social media”

(Boy, 6th Year)

QUALITATIVE FINDINGS

Most participants suggested implementing some kind of technical improvement or a better management from the social media or digital service providers, with several participants calling for the facilitation of reporting and be provided a prompt response to the situation.

“A quicker response from social media platform when you report someone or something”

(Boy, 5th Year)

“There should be a button to leave anonymous reviews about them to the online app and then they can handle it from there”

(Girl, 3rd Year)

“Word blocker”

(Boy, 4th Year)

Some participants go beyond the screens searching for potential solutions, such as receiving training and involving the schools. The training requested includes information on how to act, emotional intelligence to understand the consequences of bullying, and developing self-confidence to be able to act when witnessing someone being cyber-bullied. Some comments also hint of the need for awareness campaigns and information to be easier to access. Teachers stand out as an important figure in this context.

“Confidence building workshops to teach kids to report”

(Girl, 2nd Year)

“Education making it okay to tell [and] not be seen as being a snitch [if] I get bullied for standing up for someone or beaten up that stops me from doing it the next time. Teachers don’t do anything, they are not where the bullies are”

(Boy, 2nd Year)

“Education regarding the effects of mistreatment online as well as easy to navigate systems. If it’s difficult to report a problem, people give up easier”

(Non-binary, 5th Year)

“School should have a person you can confide in”

(Girl, 2nd Year)

QUALITATIVE FINDINGS

Finally, there is also a refusal to get involved unless they know the target and advising others to do the same.

“The “mistreatment” I witness is either in the form of a comment or thread of comments on someone’s video or a video that someone makes about someone else. All of these people are strangers and I don’t concern myself with random drama on the internet. It’s not healthy”

(Boy, 4th Year)

Advice to people who are (cyber)bullied

When prompted to give advice to people who are bullied, very few participants refused to do so, some participants used the slogan from the campaign “Stop, Block, Tell”, while most follow a similar approach using their own words to advise to report and/or tell someone to get help, particularly a trusted adult but not necessarily a parent. It is recommended to have evidence such as screenshots of what happened and report to the Gardaí if the situation is serious. It is also advised to seek emotional support from friends and even one participant advised to confront the perpetrator to understand the behaviour. Several participants expressed concern about the targets and acknowledged the negative consequences online victimisation and cyberbullying can have, while others believe bullying is not so serious when it happens online. Screen breaks are advised after being targeted, particularly from the social media it happened on. An example of comments along these lines can be seen below.

“Block and report the person that is bullying you and talk to a trusted adult about it and in the meantime talk to your friends and have fun with them”

(Boy, 2nd Year)

“Bullying online can be cruel, but unlike in real life any of these problems can be solved by the simple press of the block button, if it’s something a little more serious you can click the report button and get the bully’s account banned. And if you happen to know the bully in real life but the bullying only occurs online it’s a great idea to take screenshots as evidence and report them to a teacher, guidance counsellor or a trusted adult”

(Girl, 5th Year)

“Speak to either a peer who will support you to speak up or speak to an adult. Don’t try to hide it or it could get worse”

(Boy, 6th Year)

QUALITATIVE FINDINGS

“To ignore the bullies and not show a reaction as bullies may lose enjoyment bullying a person, if they see the person doesn’t care. If the situation escalates, tell a trusted adult”
(Boy, 2nd Year)

Among those participants who believe bullying is not so serious when it happens online, there is a minority advising to simply ignore the cyberbullying without taking further measures.

“It’s not real, turn off your phone”
(Girl, 5th Year)

“Get offline. Don’t concern yourself with the opinions of strangers who don’t know you and judged you based on a thirty second clip or who just like to make shit up. They’re gonna do whatever they want because you can’t control them”
(Boy, 4th Year)

Furthermore, it should be highlighted that several participants go beyond a practical recommendation to address words of support and encouragement to targets of cyberbullying, stating the victimisation is not their fault and reassuring them of their own value.

“Don’t take it personally, because it’s a reflection on the person who is bullying you. It really shows what’s happening in their life: people who hurt other people are usually hurt themselves. There’s a reason why they are doing it and it’s probably nothing to do with you. They are just taking their hurt out on you, possibly because they are jealous or they think you are an easy target. Also, most people reading it will probably recognise that it isn’t nice and that it’s not true”
(Girl, 2nd Year)

“I think the best advice would be to block the person or if in a video game match, mute them or leave the match. It is important to remember that you are amazing and don’t let what people say get to you because you are the slay, Queen”
(Girl, 4th Year)

“It’s not you! most bullying is more about the bully keep that in your head and tell someone”
(Boy, 6th Year)

QUALITATIVE FINDINGS

“People who write things like that on the internet don’t know you. The only person who gets to decide things about you is you. Always ask for help and prioritise yourself because you don’t deserve to be mistreated by anyone. Also, deleting apps may not solve the problem but it can put your mind at peace for a while”

(Non-binary, 5th Year)

“Remember that these people do not care enough and are not brave enough to say anything to your face. These people don’t matter and won’t affect your life off the screen. If this cyberbullying is affecting your mental health or you believe you are in danger, know that you are valid and you can and should ask for help”

(Girl, 4th Year)

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- There is a high connectivity and digital devices usage in general, but bystanders are heavier users than their non-bystander peers. Unsurprisingly, greater involvement in the digital environment translates into greater exposure to online bullying. This also relates to participants in this study seeming to be mostly reactive when encountering cyberbullying, but not using preventive measures, such as the privacy settings to keep strangers from having access to their content and feeds. Access to the resources that the online world has to offer is a right for children, but it also entails the obligation to know how to behave responsibly towards others and avoid risks to themselves.
- Given that bystanders are present in most cyberbullying episodes and their potential role in perpetuating or ending the victimisation, intervention and prevention efforts should target them. That a large portion of participants did not report ever witnessing cyberbullying may be indicating that it may be easy to overlook or misinterpret as less severe. Besides, it is easy to scroll past or ignore. Additionally, if students see someone being cyberbullied that they do not know in person, they may be more likely to ignore the bullying while being friends with the target would prompt them to get involved (Beavon et al., 2022; DeSmet, 2016). Future anti-bullying programmes may want to focus on helping bystanders noticing (cyber)bullying and perceiving it as a situation that needs to be addressed given the impact it has on targets.
- Most participants were aware of the resources available to them and have even used them but did not do so to help others. Therefore, preventive efforts are not so much necessary for information purposes as they are for the willingness to get involved, promoting empathy towards targets of (cyber)bullying and countering normalisation of any kind of violence. These skills align with Social Personal and Health Education Curriculum (SPHE), making schools the perfect environment to include this kind of training.
- Schools need access to suitable and effective anti-bullying programmes, resources, and training. A number of recommended anti-bullying programmes are available to schools in Ireland; of note the research-based FUSE Anti-bullying and Online Safety programme^[2] developed by DCU Anti-Bullying Centre. FUSE aims to build the capacity of schools themselves to tackle bullying and online safety issues and to empower children and adolescents to understand their own behaviour, be able to recognise bullying and online safety risks and be confident in how to report and seek support if required. Furthermore, Webwise will publish a new Junior Cycle Unit of Learning supporting the updated Junior Cycle SPHE

[2] FUSE Anti-bullying & Online Safety programme. Available at <https://antibullyingcentre.ie/fuse/>

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Curriculum that will take a particular focus on bystander behaviour. The unit of learning aim is to empower students to recognise and take action against online bullying, through their own positive actions and behaviours and through helping to create an anti-bullying environment on a school-wide level. It will be available to all post-primary schools in September 2023. In addition, educators can access free training and resources in Understanding, Preventing and Responding to Cyberbullying available from Webwise and PDST Technology in Education[3].

- Social media providers can contribute on reducing cyberbullying. The mechanics of some social networking sites could be facilitating online victimisation given the higher rates found in this study of witnessing cyberbullying among those registered in some social networks in particular. This requires further research before conclusive recommendations can be made, but several students themselves called for technical improvements on social media and engagement from the providers to facilitate other people stepping in when encountering cyberbullying.
- Parents and peers play an important role in cyberbullying incidents as a source of support. Increased emphasis should be placed on a shared understanding of key messages in relation to appropriate online etiquette that complement messages students are receiving in school. This could be built in to parenting resources and awareness campaigns to educate young people on safe interventions in bullying incidents online. Such an approach would need appropriate training and resources.
- Future research should try to reach a larger number of participants and use a random sampling to generalise the quantitative results to the whole population. Besides, further qualitative research can help to better understand the dynamics that lead a bystander to intervene or not.

[3] Understanding, Preventing and Responding to Cyberbullying: Free online course for educators. Available at <https://www.webwise.ie/teachers/webwise-workshops/>

REFERENCES

- Beavon, E., Jenkins, L., Bradley, M., & Verma, K. (2022). Understanding Adolescents' Bystander Intervention in Cyberbullying. *Contemporary School Psychology* [Online version]. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40688-022-00437-1>
- Bjereld, Y., Daneback, K., & Mishna, F. (2019). Adults' responses to bullying: The victimized youth's perspectives. *Research Papers in Education*, 36(3), 257–274. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02671522.2019.1646793>
- Campbell, M., Spears, B., Slee, P., Butler, D., & Kift, S. (2012). Victims' perceptions of traditional and cyberbullying and the psychosocial correlates of their victimization. *Emotional and Behavioral Difficulties*, 17, 389–401. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13632752.2012.704316>
- DeSmet, A., Bastiaensens, S., Van Cleemput, K., Poels, K., Vandebosch, H., Cardon, G., & De Bourdeaudhuij, I. (2016). Deciding whether to look after them, to like it, or leave it: A multidimensional analysis of predictors of positive and negative bystander behavior in cyberbullying among adolescents. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 57, 398–415. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.12.051>
- Feijóo, S. (2022). Problematic Internet Use and online risk behaviors. An analysis from the gender perspective [Doctoral dissertation, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela]. <http://hdl.handle.net/10347/28872>
- Feijóo, S., Foody, M., Pichel, R., Zamora, L., & Rial, A. (2021). Bullying and Cyberbullying among Students with Cochlear Implants. *The Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 26(1), 130–141, <https://doi.org/10.1093/deafed/enaa029>
- Foody, M., Samara, M., & O'Higgins Norman, J. (2017). Bullying and cyberbullying studies in the school-aged population on the island of Ireland: A meta-analysis. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 87, 535–557. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12163>
- Gaffney, H., Farrington, D. P., Espelage, D. L., & Ttofi, M. M. (2019). Are cyberbullying intervention and prevention programs effective? A systematic and meta-analytical review. *Aggression and violent behavior*, 45, 134–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2018.07.002>
- Gómez-Baya, D., Reis, M., & Gaspar de Matos, M. (2019). Positive youth development, thriving and social engagement: An analysis of gender differences in Spanish youth. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 60(6), 559–568. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sjop.12577>
- Hinduja, S., & Patchin, J. W. (2015). *Bullying Beyond the Schoolyard: Preventing and Responding to Cyberbullying* (2nd Ed). Corwin press.

REFERENCES

Ho, S. M., Kao, D., Chiu-Huang, M. J., Li, W., & Lai, C. J. (2020). Detecting cyberbullying “hotspots” on Twitter: a predictive analytics approach. *Forensic Science International: Digital Investigation*, 32, Article 300906. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsidi.2020.300906>

Kowalski, R. M., Giumetti, G. W., Schroeder, A. N., & Lattaner, M. R. (2014). Bullying in the digital age: a critical review and meta-analysis of cyberbullying research among youth. *Psychological Bulletin*, 140, 1073–1137. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035618>

Menesini, E., Nocentini, A., Palladino, B. E., Frisén, A., Berne, S., Ortega-Ruiz, R., Calmaestra, J., Scheithauer, H., Schultze-Krumbholz, A., Luik, P., Naruskov, K., Blaya, C., Berthaud, J., & Smith, P. K. (2012). Cyberbullying Definition Among Adolescents: A Comparison Across Six European Countries. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior and Social Networking*, 15(9), 455–463. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2012.0040>

National Advisory Council for Online Safety [NACOS] (2021). Report of a National Survey of Children, their Parents and Adults regarding Online Safety 2021. Department of Tourism, Culture, Arts, Gaeltacht, Sport and Media. <https://www.gov.ie/en/publication/1f19b-report-of-a-national-survey-of-children-their-parents-and-adults-regarding-online-safety/>

Polanco-Levicán, K., & Salvo-Garrido, S. (2021). Bystander roles in cyberbullying: A mini-review of who, how many, and why. *Frontiers in psychology*, 12, Article 1719. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.676787>

Pozzoli, T., & Gini, G. (2020). Behavior during cyberbullying episodes: Initial validation of a new self-report scale. *Scandinavian journal of psychology*, 61(1), 22–29. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sjop.12517>

Rapp-Paglicci, L., Dulmus, C. N., Sowers, K. M., & Theriot, M. T. (2004). “Hotspots” for bullying: exploring the role of environment in school violence. *Journal of Evidence-Based Social Work*, 1(2-3), 131–141. https://doi.org/10.1300/J394v1n02_09

Salmivalli, C. (2010). Bullying and the peer group: a review. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 15, 112–120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2009.08.007>